1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
9	DICKY DATEL		
10	RICKY PATU, Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C14-5430 BHS-KLS	
11 12	v.	ORDER TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT	
13	SGT. ALEXANDER, PIERCE COUNTY STAFF,		
14	Defendants.		
15 16	This matter has been referred to United States Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom		
17	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Local Rules MJR 3 and 4, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. The case is		
18	before the Court for initial screening.		
19	Plaintiff names Sergeant Alexander and Pierce County staff as defendants, but the		
20	complaint is devoid of facts that would explain why plaintiff is suing Sergeant Alexander or		
21	Pierce County staff. Dkt. 1.		
22	To state a claim against a person, plaintiff must specifically identify as each person being		
23	sued. He must also allege facts showing that the person was acting under color of state law and		
24	that their conduct deprived plaintiff of rights, privi	leges or immunities secured by the	

Constitution or laws of the United States. *Parratt v. Taylor*, 451 U.S. 527, 535, (1981) (overruled in part on other grounds); Daniels v. Williams, 474 U.S. 327, 330-31, (1986). Part of showing that the conduct deprived the plaintiff of a right involves causation. See Mt. Healthy City School Dist. Bd. of Educ. v. Doyle, 429 U.S. 274, 286-87, (1977); Flores v. Pierce, 617 F.2d 1386, 1390-91 (9th Cir. 1980), cert. denied, 449 U.S. 875 (1980). When a plaintiff fails to allege or establish one of these elements, his complaint must be dismissed. That plaintiff may have suffered harm, even if due to another's negligent conduct does not in itself necessarily demonstrate an abridgment of constitutional protections. Davidson v. Cannon, 474 U.S. 344, 106 S. Ct. 668 (1986). Vague and conclusory allegations of official participation in civil rights violations are not sufficient to withstand a motion to dismiss. *Pena v. Gardner*, 976 F.2d 469, 471 (9th Cir. 1992). Causation and personal participation are closely related concepts. In order to obtain relief against a defendant under 42 U.S.C. §1983, a plaintiff must prove that the particular defendant has caused or personally participated in causing the deprivation of a particular protected constitutional right. Arnold v. International Business Machines Corp., 637 F.2d 1350, 1355 (9th Cir. 1981); Sherman v. Yakahi, 549 F.2d 1287, 1290 (9th Cir. 1977). To be liable for "causing" the deprivation of a constitutional right, the particular defendant must commit an affirmative act, or omit to perform an act, that he or she is legally required to do, and the conduct must cause the plaintiff's deprivation. Johnson v. Duffy, 588 F.2d 740, 743 (9th Cir. 1978). The inquiry into causation must be individualized and focus on the duties and responsibilities of each individual defendant whose acts or omissions are alleged to have caused a constitutional deprivation. Leer v. Murphy, 844 F.2d 628, 633 (9th Cir. 1988); see also Rizzo v. Goode, 423 U.S. 362, 370-71, 375-77 (1976). Sweeping conclusory allegations against an official are insufficient to state a claim for relief. The plaintiff must set forth specific facts

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	showing a causal connection between each defendant's actions and the harm allegedly suffered	
2	by plaintiff. Aldabe v. Aldabe, 616 F.2d 1089, 1092 (9th Cir. 1980); Rizzo, 423 U.S. at 371.	
3	After reviewing the complaint and explaining the contours individual liability the Court	
4	finds and ORDERS as follows:	
5	1. Mr. Patu must file an amended complaint that identifies each person he is suing	
6	by name and he must provide operative facts explaining why each person is individually liable.	
7	2. Mr. Patu has until July 18, 2014, to file his amended complaint. The amended	
8	complaint will act as a complete substitute for the original complaint and not as a supplement.	
9	3. Mr. Patu's failure to file the amended complaint on or before July 18, 2014, will	
10	result in a Report and Recommendation to dismiss this action for failure to prosecute the action	
11	and failure to follow a court order.	
12	The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff.	
13	Dated this 6 day of June, 2014.	
14		
15	Karen L. Strombom	
16	United States Magistrate Judge	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		